ISSN: 2707-1146
e-ISSN: 2709-4189

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36719/2707-1146/57/20-23

Vugar Garagurbanli
Scientific Research Institute of Cultivation of Fruits and Tea
https://orcid.org/0009-0005-1935-8170
vqarqar@gmail.com

Adapting California Almond Farming Practices to Azerbaijani Conditions: Opportunities and Constraints

Abstract

Azerbaijan's almond sector is gaining momentum, supported by favorable agro-ecological zones and rising global demand. In contrast, California—producing nearly 80% of the world's almonds—benefits from decades of refinement in orchard design, irrigation, cultivar selection, bloom synchronization, and mechanized harvesting. This study explores how California's model can inform the transformation of Azerbaijan's predominantly artisanal almond cultivation. Using climate and soil comparisons, literature synthesis, and expert interviews, we identify California practices with the highest local adaptation potential: drip and regulated deficit irrigation (RDI), late-blooming cultivars, integrated pest management (IPM), and mechanized harvesting. Implementation, however, faces barriers such as inadequate water infrastructure, limited nursery certification, fragmented landholdings, and gaps in technical capacity. We conclude with recommendations for policy support and investment strategies to enable sustainable almond development in Azerbaijan.

Keywords: *Almond cultivation, Azerbaijan, California model, Drip irrigation, Deficit irrigation, Late-blooming cultivars, IPM, Mechanization, Climate resilience*

Introduction

Almonds (*Prunus dulcis*) are prized for their nutritional content, profitability and adaptability to semi-arid climates. Global consumption—particularly in Asia and Europe—continues to rise, with California emerging as the world's leading producer due to its efficient use of technology, infrastructure, and institutional support (FAO, 2023). Central to this success are high-density orchards, self-compatible and late-blooming cultivars, micro-managed irrigation, bloom regulation techniques, and extensive mechanization (Shahverdiyev, & Yusifli, 2020).

Research

In Azerbaijan, almonds grow well in regions with 400+ chilling hours, favorable soils and low humidity—conditions reminiscent of California's Central Valley. However, most Azerbaijani production remains traditional, with low yields and limited scalability (Aliyev et al., 2019). By studying California's evolution, this paper aims to identify feasible agronomic practices and the support systems needed to adapt them locally (DeJong, Day, & Weinbaum, 2006).

We address the following objectives (Tanriverdi, & Erkan, 2021):

1. Highlight key Californian innovations in almond production;
2. Assess their agro-climatic and economic fit for Azerbaijan;
3. Propose policies and investments to promote adaptation and scale-up.

4. Materials & Methods

4.1 Scope

The study compares Central California's almond belt and Azerbaijan's main almond-growing regions, focusing on agro-climatic features, infrastructure, institutional readiness, and economic viability (Ayers, & Westcot, 1985).

4.2 Target Zones

We examined Azerbaijani regions with loamy soils, semi-arid climate, and winter chill accumulation (400–800 hours), primarily in the Absheron Peninsula, and other almond-growing zones of Azerbaijan (Connell, 2002).

ISSN: 2707-1146
e-ISSN: 2709-4189

4.3 Data Collection

Data from 2022–2024 were collected from national meteorological and soil databases, UC Davis/USDA research, FAO statistics, and technical documents (Zhang, Wang, & Xu, 2019). We also conducted interviews with Azerbaijani agronomists and growers. SWOT analysis was used to assess feasibility (Ferguson, & Sibbet, 2008).

4.4 Assessment Criteria

Each Californian innovation was evaluated for:

- Climatic and soil compatibility
- Water and energy requirements
- Technical and financial feasibility
- Farm size suitability and local adoption potential

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Orchard Design

California uses super-high-density planting systems (5.5 × 6.5 m or tighter) with over 1,000 trees per hectare to increase yields and mechanization compatibility (Lampinen et al., 2011). In Azerbaijan, flat lands could support similar layouts, but fragmented plots and smallholder structures limit adoption without cooperative models (Ramesh & Chandel, 2022).

5.2 Irrigation Techniques

Drip and RDI have revolutionized California's water use efficiency, reducing applied water by over 30% while maintaining yields (Goldhamer & Fereres, 2017). Azerbaijani orchards mostly rely on surface and manual irrigation, contributing to water waste and salinization risks.

Table 1. Comparison of Irrigation Practices

Parameter	California	Azerbaijan
Dominant System	Drip + Regulated Deficit	Manual / Surface
Efficiency (WUE)	High (up to 90% ETc)	Low (<50% ETc)
Constraints	Initial capital, sensors	Infrastructure, training

5.3 Cultivar Performance

Late-flowering, self-compatible cultivars such as 'Independence' and 'Shasta' outperform traditional landraces in frost-prone zones (Gradziel & Lampinen, 2020). Trials in Azerbaijan indicate compatibility, pending nursery upgrades and disease certification (Sharifov et al., 2021).

5.4 Bloom Delay & Frost Protection

California growers reduce frost exposure via delayed pruning and bloom regulators (DeJong et al., 2006). In Azerbaijan, chemical regulators are not widely used and late-pruning methods require training and regional calibration (Kallsen, & Parfitt, 2017; Pérez-Pastor, Ruiz-Sánchez, Domingo, & Torrecillas, 2009).

5.5 Pest and Disease Control

California implements IPM protocols combining monitoring, thresholds, biological agents and targeted sprays (Haviland et al., 2020). Azerbaijan's pest control is predominantly calendar-based (Goldhamer, & Fereres, 2001).

Table 2. Comparison of IPM Practices

Component	California IPM	Azerbaijan Methods
Monitoring	Trap-based, data-driven	Routine, unscheduled
Biological Tools	Widely applied	Rarely used
Training	Extensive extension network	Limited availability

ISSN: 2707-1146
e-ISSN: 2709-4189

5.6 Mechanized Harvesting

California uses shake-and-sweep machines to harvest almonds quickly, reducing labor costs and postharvest losses. Azerbaijani growers rely on manual collection, which limits scalability. Group machinery ownership could enhance access and reduce costs (Riazi et al., 2019; Esparza, DeJong, Weinbaum, & Klein, 2001).

Summary Table of Key Comparisons

Feature	California Model	Azerbaijani Potential	Limiting Factors
Cultivars	Self-fertile, late bloom	Compatible climate	Nursery certification
Irrigation	Drip, RDI	Promising	Infrastructure, capital access
IPM	Data-driven, extensive	Emerging interest	Knowledge, training
Mechanization	Highly developed	Moderate potential	Plot fragmentation

Policy and Investment Recommendations

1. Develop demonstration orchards showcasing modern techniques.
2. Certify local nurseries to propagate late-blooming cultivars.
3. Subsidize irrigation infrastructure and mechanization tools.
4. Expand extension programs with IPM and irrigation modules.
5. Foster farmer cooperatives for shared equipment.
6. Promote partnerships with international horticultural centers.

Conclusion

Azerbaijan's almond sector is positioned to benefit from a tailored adaptation of California's cultivation model. Adoption of drip and deficit irrigation, late-blooming cultivars, integrated pest management, and selective mechanization can enhance productivity and climate resilience. However, sustained policy support, coordinated investments, and technical education are essential to realize this potential and establish Azerbaijan as a competitive almond producer.

References

1. Aliyev, A. R., Hasanov, M. S., & Jafarov, A. I. (2019). Potential for fruit crop development in arid zones of Azerbaijan. *Acta Horticulturae, 1256*, 221–228.
2. Ayers, R. S., & Westcot, D. W. (1985). *Water quality for agriculture* (FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29 Rev.1). Food and Agriculture Organization.
3. Connell, J. H. (2002). Flowering, pollination and fruit set in almond. *Acta Horticulturae, 591*, 191–198.
4. DeJong, T. M., Day, K. R., & Weinbaum, S. A. (2006). Effects of pruning and crop load on flowering in almonds. *HortScience, 41*(2), 400–404.
5. FAO. (2023). *FAOSTAT production statistics*. https://www.fao.org/faostat/
6. Ferguson, L., & Sibbet, G. S. (2008). *Almond production manual* (Publication No. 3364). University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources.
7. Goldhamer, D. A., & Fereres, E. (2001). Irrigation scheduling protocols using continuously recorded trunk diameter measurements. *Irrigation Science, 20*, 115–125.
8. Gradziel, T. M., & Lampinen, B. D. (2020). Genetic strategies to reduce frost damage and enhance self-compatibility in almonds. *Scientia Horticulturae, 261*, 108978.
9. Haviland, D. R., Bentley, W. J., & Godfrey, K. E. (2020). *Integrated pest management for almonds* (UCANR Publication 3512). University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources.
10. Kallsen, C. E., & Parfitt, D. E. (2017). Almond cultivar development: Past and future. *Horticultural Reviews, 45*, 1–44.

ISSN: 2707-1146
e-ISSN: 2709-4189

11. Lampinen, B. D., Duncan, R. A., & Grattan, S. R. (2011). Orchard spacing and canopy management in almond. *California Agriculture, 65*(1), 10–15.
12. Shahverdiyev, S. R., & Yusifli, H. A. (2020). Evaluation of drought tolerance in fruit crops of Azerbaijan. *Journal of Central Asian Agriculture, 7*(2), 55–64.
13. Sharifov, F. R., Mammadov, Z. H., & Rustamov, E. A. (2021). Grafting experiments on promising almond cultivars in semi-arid zones of Azerbaijan. *Agricultural Research, 14*(3), 231–239.
14. Tanriverdi, R., & Erkan, M. (2021). Effects of deficit irrigation on almond yield and water productivity under Mediterranean conditions. *Irrigation Science, 39*, 517–528.
15. Zhang, H., Wang, Y., & Xu, X. (2019). Mechanized almond harvesting systems: Innovations and constraints. *Biosystems Engineering, 184*, 52–65.
16. Pérez-Pastor, A., Ruiz-Sánchez, M. C., Domingo, R., & Torrecillas, A. (2009). Growth and water use of almond trees under regulated deficit irrigation. *Irrigation Science, 27*(3), 175–184.
17. Esparza, G., DeJong, T. M., Weinbaum, S. A., & Klein, I. (2001). Effects of irrigation timing on shoot growth and dry weight partitioning in almond trees. *Tree Physiology, 21*(2–3), 225–232.

Received: 22.03.2025
Accepted: 08.06.2025