

The Role of Microorganisms in Ecosystems and the Maintenance of Ecosystem Stability

Hijran Samadli 

Abstract. *Microorganisms are fundamental to ecosystem functioning and stability across terrestrial, aquatic, atmospheric, and host-associated systems. They act as ecosystem engineers by stabilizing sediments through biofilm formation and extracellular polymeric substances, enhancing structural integrity and regulating nutrient cycling. Airborne microbial communities further illustrate ecological adaptability, influencing atmospheric processes and contributing to environmental connectivity. Technological advancements have harnessed microbial communities for environmental management, including bioremediation, bioaugmentation, and wastewater treatment, supporting ecosystem resilience and sustainable resource management. In host-associated ecosystems, such as the human gastrointestinal tract, microbial diversity maintains homeostasis, supports immune function, and provides resilience against environmental or physiological disturbances. Early-life colonization, dietary changes, and hormonal influences shape microbial community dynamics, emphasizing the role of microbiomes in health and ecosystem-like stability within hosts. Anthropogenic pressures, particularly excessive antibiotic use, threaten microbial diversity, disrupt community balance, and reduce ecosystem resilience. Maintaining microbial diversity and understanding microbial ecosystem dynamics are essential for ecological sustainability, human health, and environmental management. Integrating ecological knowledge with molecular and biotechnological approaches provides a pathway to monitor, predict, and enhance ecosystem stability. Microorganisms, through their metabolic diversity and adaptive capacity, play a vital role in sustaining ecosystem integrity, regulating biogeochemical cycles, and maintaining functional resilience in the face of environmental change. Protecting microbial ecosystems is therefore crucial for long-term ecological balance and environmental sustainability.*

Keywords: *microorganisms, ecosystem stability, microbiome, environmental management, biofilms*

Introduction

Microbial ecology is a dynamic and interdisciplinary field that examines the relationships between microorganisms and their environments, as well as the interactions among microbial populations themselves. Microorganisms—including bacteria, archaea, fungi, protozoa, and viruses—represent the most abundant and diverse forms of life on Earth. They inhabit virtually every ecosystem, from terrestrial soils and freshwater systems to marine environments and extreme habitats such as thermal springs and hypersaline lakes. Their metabolic diversity enables them to drive fundamental biogeochemical cycles and sustain life on the planet (Barton & Northup, 2011; Madigan, 2012).

Lankaran Medical College, Lankaran, Azerbaijan. E-mail: hicransemedli1984@gmail.com

Received: 24 October 2025; Accepted: 5 January 2026; Published online: 25 February 2026

© The Author(s) 2026. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

The foundations of microbial ecology lie in understanding how microorganisms influence and are influenced by physical, chemical, and biological factors in their habitats. Environmental parameters such as temperature, pH, nutrient availability, oxygen concentration, and moisture play critical roles in shaping microbial community composition and activity (Maier et al., 2009; Kirchman, 2012). Microorganisms participate actively in nutrient cycling processes, including the carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus cycles. Through processes such as decomposition, nitrogen fixation, nitrification, denitrification, and methanogenesis, microbes regulate ecosystem productivity and global climate systems (Barton & Northup, 2011; Kirchman, 2012). Marine ecosystems, in particular, demonstrate the immense ecological importance of microorganisms. Marine microbes are responsible for approximately half of global primary production and significantly contribute to carbon sequestration in the oceans. Advances in microbial oceanography have revealed complex microbial networks that respond rapidly to environmental changes, highlighting the importance of microbial diversity in maintaining ocean health (Bowler et al., 2009). Microbial community ecology focuses on the structure, function, and dynamics of microbial assemblages in natural environments. Rather than studying single species in isolation, this approach emphasizes interactions among multiple populations and their collective behavior. Konopka (2009a; 2009b) describes microbial community ecology as an effort to determine how microbial diversity influences ecosystem functioning and how environmental disturbances alter community structure. Modern molecular tools such as metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, and high-throughput sequencing have revolutionized this field, allowing scientists to analyze microbial communities without the need for cultivation. Microbial interactions are central to ecosystem stability and resilience. Microorganisms engage in cooperative, competitive, symbiotic, commensal, and antagonistic relationships. These interactions often form complex ecological networks that determine nutrient exchange, energy flow, and overall community stability. Network-based approaches have provided new insights into microbial interactions, helping researchers move from descriptive studies toward predictive ecological models (Faust & Raes, 2012). Understanding these interactions is crucial for applications in biotechnology, agriculture, environmental remediation, and human health. Environmental microbiology extends the principles of microbial ecology to applied contexts, examining how microbes influence environmental quality and public health. Microorganisms play a dual role: they contribute to environmental sustainability through waste degradation and bioremediation, yet they can also pose risks as pathogens or agents of disease (Krasner, 2010). Laboratory-based approaches remain essential for isolating, identifying, and characterizing environmental microorganisms and for studying their physiological and metabolic capabilities (Charles & Brendecke, 2011; Maier et al., 2009).

Recent developments in environmental microbiology emphasize the integration of ecological theory with experimental and computational methods. By combining laboratory experiments, field observations, and modeling techniques, researchers aim to better predict microbial responses to environmental change (Charles et al., 2015). This integrative perspective strengthens our capacity to address global challenges such as climate change, emerging infectious diseases, water quality management, and sustainable agriculture. Microbial ecology provides critical insights into the invisible yet powerful microbial world that underpins all ecosystems. From regulating global biogeochemical cycles to shaping environmental health and stability, microorganisms are fundamental drivers of planetary processes. Continued research integrating ecological theory, molecular biology, and environmental sciences will deepen our understanding of microbial communities and enhance our ability to manage natural and engineered ecosystems sustainably (Barton & Northup, 2011; Kirchman, 2012; Faust & Raes, 2012).


Microbial Diversity and Ecosystem Function Across Environmental Gradients

Microbial diversity plays a fundamental role in maintaining ecosystem stability, productivity, and resilience, particularly under changing environmental conditions. Across terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, microbial communities regulate key biogeochemical processes such as carbon turnover,

nutrient mineralization, decomposition, and soil formation. Increasing evidence shows that biodiversity—both aboveground and belowground—enhances ecosystem resistance and recovery following environmental stressors, including climate extremes and anthropogenic disturbances (Isbell et al., 2015; Soliveres et al., 2016). Environmental gradients such as temperature, moisture, pH, nutrient availability, and atmospheric CO₂ concentration strongly influence microbial community structure and functionality. Long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ levels, for example, has been shown to alter soil carbon turnover processes and reshape microbiome composition, thereby affecting ecosystem carbon cycling dynamics (Beulig et al., 2016). Similarly, geographic and temporal variability in soil biodiversity demonstrates that microbial distribution patterns are shaped by both abiotic and biotic drivers across ecosystems (European Commission, 2016).

Microbial community dynamics are particularly evident during organic matter decomposition. During litter degradation, bacterial and fungal communities undergo succession patterns that reflect shifts in substrate availability and environmental conditions. Studies have revealed complex interactions among decomposers that determine nutrient release rates and ecosystem productivity (Purahong et al., 2016). In paddy soils and peatlands, microbial populations responsible for plant polymer degradation and biopolymer breakdown have been identified using metatranscriptomic and community-level analyses, demonstrating functional specialization within microbial consortia (Wegner & Liesack, 2016; Ivanova et al., 2016). Recent advances in integrated omics approaches have significantly improved our understanding of microbial community-wide metabolic networks. Comparative multi-omics analyses enable researchers to identify key functional pathways driving ecosystem processes and to link taxonomic diversity with metabolic capabilities (Roume et al., 2015).

These approaches reveal that microbial ecosystem functioning is not solely dependent on species richness but also on functional redundancy and network interactions within microbial communities. Biodiversity effects are not limited to single trophic levels. Multifunctionality within ecosystems depends on interactions across plants, microbes, and soil fauna. Research has shown that biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is essential to sustain ecosystem multifunctionality, particularly under environmental stress (Soliveres et al., 2016). Moreover, long-term experimental studies indicate that ecosystem functioning can diverge over time depending on diversity levels, highlighting the temporal dimension of biodiversity–function relationships (Guerrero-Ramirez et al., 2017). Climate extremes further emphasize the stabilizing role of biodiversity. Experimental evidence demonstrates that higher biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to extreme climatic events, suggesting that diverse microbial and plant communities provide buffering capacity against environmental fluctuations (Isbell et al., 2015). Therefore, understanding microbial diversity–ecosystem function relationships across environmental gradients is critical for predicting ecosystem responses to global change.



A Environmental change and heterogeneity determine the biodiversity and the functioning of ecosystems (modified after Eisenhauer et al., 2016). In addition, changes in biodiversity can have

significant effects on ecosystem functioning, which can be masked by strong environmental gradients. Only by accounting for environmental heterogeneity can the role of biodiversity for ecosystem functioning be wholly realized. B Hypothesized positive relationship between microbial diversity and decomposition (overall positive relationship with confidence intervals; no real data was used to create this figure). The diversity gradient in soil microbes is supposed to be caused by different environmental conditions. The different grey lines indicate BEF relationships across different experimental and environmental gradients

Microbial Communities in Contaminated and Subterranean Environments

Microbial communities demonstrate remarkable adaptability across diverse and extreme environments, including contaminated industrial sites, engineered wastewater systems, agricultural soils, and subterranean cave ecosystems. Environmental stressors such as heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nutrient limitations, and hydrological changes significantly influence microbial diversity, structure, and metabolic potential. Understanding these responses is essential for predicting ecosystem functioning and developing sustainable environmental management strategies. In contaminated industrial soils, microbial communities respond differently to various pollutants depending on contaminant type and concentration. Heavy metals and PAHs exert selective pressures that shape community composition and functional gene distribution. Soil microbiomes exposed to these contaminants exhibit divergent adaptive mechanisms, including metal resistance systems and hydrocarbon degradation pathways (Yang et al., 2022). Such functional shifts demonstrate how environmental gradients drive microbial selection and ecosystem process modification. Wastewater treatment systems provide another model for studying microbial functional dynamics. In low carbon-to-nitrogen domestic wastewater, the efficiency of nitrogen removal is strongly influenced by hydraulic retention time (HRT) and the type of biodegradable polymers used as solid carbon sources. Variations in operational parameters alter microbial community structure and enhance simultaneous nitrification–denitrification (SND) processes (Wu et al., 2021). These findings highlight the link between engineered environmental conditions and microbial-mediated nutrient cycling.

Agricultural water management also affects active root-associated microbial communities. In rice paddies, irrigation strategies influence microbial populations involved in arsenic, iron, and sulfur biogeochemical cycles. Changes in redox conditions alter microbial functional groups, thereby impacting nutrient availability and contaminant mobility (Zecchin et al., 2017). This demonstrates how anthropogenic management practices regulate belowground microbial processes and ecosystem health. Subterranean cave ecosystems, characterized by low nutrient input and limited anthropogenic activity, represent unique habitats for microbial life. Studies of caves in different geographical regions reveal distinct microbial assemblages shaped by mineralogical composition, geochemical properties, and organic substrate availability. Microbial communities in caves exhibit specialized metabolic pathways adapted to oligotrophic conditions (Zada et al., 2021). Similarly, karst cave systems show complex diversity patterns and microbial co-occurrence networks that reflect environmental heterogeneity and spatial structuring (Zhu et al., 2019). In caves with low anthropogenic disturbance, microbial diversity and substrate preferences provide insights into natural ecosystem functioning. Investigations in Romanian cave systems have revealed distinct microbial distributions associated with organic matter availability and microclimatic conditions, emphasizing the ecological importance of subterranean microbiomes (Bogdan et al., 2023). These findings contribute to our broader understanding of microbial biogeography and ecological specialization. Microbial diversity across environmental gradients—from contaminated soils and wastewater systems to agricultural lands and pristine caves—demonstrates both resilience and functional plasticity. Microbial communities not only respond to environmental stress but also actively regulate ecosystem processes through nutrient cycling, contaminant transformation, and energy flow. Integrating ecological, geochemical, and molecular approaches is essential for advancing our understanding of microbial ecosystem functioning under natural and anthropogenic influences.

Microorganisms as Drivers of Ecosystem Function and Stability

Microorganisms are fundamental components of all ecosystems and serve as primary drivers of ecological processes that sustain environmental stability. From terrestrial soils and aquatic sediments to atmospheric systems and host-associated microbiomes, microbial communities regulate nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, energy flow, and structural integrity. Their remarkable metabolic diversity enables them to adapt to fluctuating environmental conditions while maintaining ecosystem resilience. Understanding the ecological roles of microorganisms is essential for predicting ecosystem responses to anthropogenic disturbances and global environmental change. In natural environments, microorganisms function as ecosystem engineers. In sedimentary systems, microbial assemblages contribute directly to sediment stability through biofilm formation and the secretion of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). These polymers bind sediment particles together, reducing erosion and enhancing structural cohesion. By modifying physical properties of sediments, microbial communities regulate hydrological processes and nutrient exchange at the sediment–water interface, thereby maintaining aquatic ecosystem stability (Gerbersdorf et al., 2009). This engineering capacity illustrates how microorganisms actively shape their habitats rather than merely inhabiting them. Microbial influence extends beyond terrestrial and aquatic systems into the atmosphere. Urban aerosol studies have revealed diverse and dynamic airborne bacterial populations that respond rapidly to environmental fluctuations such as temperature, humidity, and pollution levels (Brodie et al., 2007). These findings demonstrate that microorganisms participate in atmospheric processes, potentially influencing nutrient deposition and biogeochemical cycling on a broader scale. The presence of active microbial communities in air systems reinforces the concept that ecosystems are interconnected through microbial dispersal and ecological exchange. Technological advancements have further emphasized the environmental significance of microorganisms. Microbial-based approaches in environmental management—including bioremediation, bioaugmentation, and wastewater treatment—have proven effective in restoring polluted ecosystems and enhancing nutrient cycling efficiency (Chinthala, 2013; Chinthala, 2014). By exploiting microbial metabolic pathways, scientists can mitigate environmental contamination, improve soil fertility, and promote sustainable ecosystem functioning. These applications underscore the importance of preserving microbial diversity as a resource for ecological innovation.

Beyond environmental systems, microorganisms form complex host-associated ecosystems that are crucial for health and physiological stability. The human microbiome represents a dynamic and resilient microbial community that contributes to digestion, immune regulation, and pathogen resistance. The stability of this ecosystem is directly linked to human health, as disruptions in microbial composition may reduce resilience and increase disease susceptibility (Relman, 2012). Microbial diversity within the gastrointestinal tract ensures functional redundancy, enabling the system to recover from environmental or dietary perturbations.

Early-life microbial colonization plays a critical role in shaping long-term ecosystem stability within the host. The neonatal gastrointestinal tract undergoes rapid microbial succession, establishing foundational microbial communities that influence immune development and metabolic programming (Mackie et al., 1999). Similarly, transitional phases such as weaning significantly alter microbial diversity in animal gastrointestinal systems, reflecting the dynamic nature of host-associated microbial ecosystems (Konstantinov et al., 2004). These developmental processes highlight the importance of ecological succession and adaptation in maintaining microbiome stability. Other host-associated ecosystems also demonstrate microbial regulation influenced by physiological factors. The vaginal microbiome, for instance, is strongly shaped by hormonal fluctuations, which affect microbial composition and ecological balance (Farage et al., 2010). In the oral cavity, the early establishment of bacterial flora determines long-term microbial community structure and oral health outcomes (Könönen, 2000). These examples illustrate how microorganisms maintain homeostasis within host environments and contribute to systemic stability. However, microbial ecosystem stability

is increasingly challenged by anthropogenic pressures. One of the most significant disturbances is the overuse of high-stability antibiotics. Excessive antibiotic application alters microbial diversity, disrupts ecological balance, and promotes the emergence of resistant strains in both clinical and environmental settings (Zdziarski et al., 2003). Such disturbances reduce microbial resilience and compromise essential ecosystem services, including nutrient cycling and pathogen suppression. Alterations in gut microbiota composition have been linked to metabolic disorders and immune dysfunction, emphasizing the importance of maintaining microbial equilibrium (Baker et al., 2009). Studies on captive animal systems demonstrate that digestive microbiota exhibit varying degrees of temporal stability depending on environmental conditions and dietary inputs (Becker et al., 2011). These findings further support the concept that microbial ecosystem stability is shaped by both internal and external environmental factors.

Microorganisms function as key regulators of ecosystem stability across multiple ecological scales. They stabilize physical environments, sustain nutrient cycles, influence atmospheric processes, and maintain host health. Their adaptive capacity allows ecosystems to resist and recover from environmental stressors, thereby promoting resilience. At the same time, anthropogenic disturbances such as antibiotic overuse and environmental pollution threaten microbial diversity and ecological balance. Protecting microbial diversity and understanding microbial ecosystem dynamics are therefore essential for sustainable environmental management and public health strategies. Integrating ecological theory with molecular and biotechnological approaches will enhance our ability to monitor, predict, and manage ecosystem responses to global change. As invisible yet indispensable components of life on Earth, microorganisms remain central to the maintenance of ecosystem stability and resilience.

Conclusion

Microorganisms are indispensable drivers of ecosystem stability, functioning across diverse habitats including soils, sediments, aquatic systems, the atmosphere, and host-associated environments. By stabilizing physical structures, regulating nutrient cycling, and maintaining community resilience, microbial assemblages act as ecosystem engineers that sustain ecological balance. In host-associated systems, such as the human microbiome, microbial diversity ensures physiological stability and health, highlighting the parallels between environmental and host ecosystems. Anthropogenic pressures, including pollution, habitat alteration, and excessive antibiotic use, threaten microbial diversity and compromise ecosystem resilience. Preserving microbial communities and understanding their dynamics is therefore essential for maintaining ecosystem functioning, environmental sustainability, and human well-being. Advances in microbial biotechnology and ecological monitoring provide tools to harness microbial potential, restore disrupted ecosystems, and enhance system stability. Ultimately, microorganisms are both the foundation and regulators of ecosystem integrity. Protecting microbial diversity, promoting sustainable management practices, and integrating ecological and biotechnological approaches are critical for ensuring long-term stability, resilience, and sustainability of ecosystems in the face of environmental change.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Baker, P., Love, D. R., & Ferguson, L. R. (2009). *Role of gut microbiota in health and disease*.
2. Barton, L. L., & Northup, D. E. (2011). *Microbial ecology*. Wiley.
3. Becker, A. A., Hesta, M., Depauw, S., Janssens, G. P., & Huys, G. R. (2011). Complexity and temporal stability of the digestive microbiota of captive cheetahs by community fingerprinting. *Innovative Microbial Thoughts*, 9.
4. Beulig, F., Urich, T., Nowak, M., Trumbore, S. E., Gleixner, G., Gilfillan, G. D., Fjelland, K. E., & Küsel, K. (2016). Altered carbon turnover processes and microbiomes in soils under long-term extremely high CO₂ exposure. *Nature Microbiology*, 1, 15025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.25>
5. Bogdan, D. F., Baricz, A. I., Chiciudean, I., Bulzu, P.-A., Cristea, A., Năstase-Bucur, R., Levei, E. A., Cadar, O., Sitar, C., Banciu, H. L., & Moldovan, O. T. (2023). Diversity, distribution and organic substrates preferences of microbial communities of a low anthropic activity cave in North-Western Romania. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 962452. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.962452>
6. Bowler, C., Karl, D. M., & Colwell, R. R. (2009). Microbial oceanography in a sea of opportunity. *Nature*, 459(7244), 180–184. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08056>
7. Brodie, E. L., DeSantis, T. Z., Parker, J. M., Zubietta, I. X., Piceno, Y., & Andersen, G. L. (2007). Urban aerosols harbor diverse and dynamic bacterial populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104, 299–304.
8. Charles, I. P., Gerba, C. P., & Gentry, T. J. (Eds.). (2015). Introduction to environmental microbiology. In *Environmental microbiology* (3rd ed., pp. 3–9). Academic Press.
9. Charles, P., & Brendecke, G. J. (2011). *Environmental microbiology: A laboratory manual* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
10. Chinthala, L. K. (2013). Advancements in microbial technologies for environmental management. In *Microbes and Ecosystem* (pp. 20–28). PhDiAns.
11. Chinthala, L. K. (2014). Dynamics and applications of environmental microbiomes for betterment of ecosystem. In *Role of Microbiomes in Society* (pp. 1–13). Ambisphere Publications.
12. European Commission, Joint Research Centre. (2016). *Global soil biodiversity atlas*. Publications Office of the European Union.
13. Farage, M. A., Miller, K. W., & Sobel, J. D. (2010). Dynamics of the vaginal ecosystem—Hormonal influences. *Infectious Diseases: Research and Treatment*, 3.
14. Faust, K., & Raes, J. (2012). Microbial interactions: From networks to models. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 10(8), 538–550. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2832>
15. Gerbersdorf, S. U., Bittner, R., Lubarsky, H. V., Manz, W., & Paterson, D. M. (2009). Microbial assemblages as ecosystem engineers of sediment stability. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 9, 640–652.
16. Guerrero-Ramirez, N. R., Craven, D., Reich, P. B., Ewel, J. J., Isbell, F., Koricheva, J., Parrotta, J. A., Auge, H., Erickson, H. E., Forrester, D. I., Hector, A., Joshi, J., Montagnini, F., Palmberg, C., Piotta, D., Potvin, C., Roscher, C., van Ruijven, J., Tilman, D., ... Eisenhauer, N. (2017). Diversity-dependent temporal divergence of ecosystem functioning in experimental ecosystems. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1, 1639–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0325-1>
17. Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., Loreau, M., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, H., Bezemer, T. M., Bonin, C., Bruelheide, H., de Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J., Guo, Q., Hautier, Y., Hector, A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Manning, P., ... Eisenhauer, N. (2015). Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes. *Nature*, 526, 574–577. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15374>
18. Ivanova, A. A., Wegner, C. E., Kim, Y., Liesack, W., & Dedysh, S. N. (2016). Identification of microbial populations driving biopolymer degradation in acidic peatlands by metatranscriptomic analysis. *Molecular Ecology*, 25, 4818–4835. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13783>

19. Kirchman, D. L. (2012). *Processes in microbial ecology*. Oxford University Press.
20. Könönen, E. (2000). Development of oral bacterial flora in young children. *Annals of Medicine*, *32*, 107–112.
21. Konstantinov, S. R., Favier, C. F., Zhu, W., Williams, B. A., Klüß, J., Souffrant, W. B., Vos, W. M., Akkermans, A. D., & Smidt, H. (2004). Microbial diversity studies of the porcine gastrointestinal ecosystem during weaning transition. *Animal Research*, *53*, 317–324.
22. Konopka, A. (2009a). Ecology, microbial. In M. Schaechter (Ed.). *Encyclopedia of microbiology* (3rd ed., pp. 91–106). Academic Press.
23. Konopka, A. (2009b). What is microbial community ecology? *The ISME Journal*, *3*(11), 1223–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.88>
24. Krasner, R. I. (2010). *The microbial challenge: Science, disease, and public health* (2nd ed.). Jones & Bartlett Publishers.
25. Madigan, M. T. (2012). *Brock biology of microorganisms* (13th ed.). Benjamin Cummings.
26. Maier, R. M., Pepper, I. L., & Gerba, C. P. (2009). *Environmental microbiology* (2nd ed.). Academic Press.
27. Mackie, R. I., Sghir, A., & Gaskins, H. R. (1999). Developmental microbial ecology of the neonatal gastrointestinal tract. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *69*(5 Suppl.), 1035S–1045S.
28. Purahong, W., Wubet, T., Lentendu, G., Schloter, M., Pecyna, M. J., Kapturska, D., Hofrichter, M., Krüger, D., & Buscot, F. (2016). Life in leaf litter: Novel insights into community dynamics of bacteria and fungi during litter decomposition. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*, 4059–4074. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13739>
29. Relman, D. A. (2012). The human microbiome: Ecosystem resilience and health. *Nutrition Reviews*, *70*(Suppl. 1), S2–S9.
30. Roume, H., Heintz-Buschart, A., Müller, E. E. L., May, P., Satagopam, V. P., Laczny, C. C., Narayanasamy, S., Lebrun, L., Hoopmann, M. R., Schupp, J. M., Gillece, J. D., Hicks, N. D., Engelthaler, D. M., Sauter, T., Keim, P. S., Moritz, R. L., & Wilmes, P. (2015). Comparative integrated omics: Identification of key functionalities in microbial community-wide metabolic networks. *NPJ Biofilms and Microbiomes*, *1*, 15007. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npjbiofilms.2015.7>
31. Soliveres, S., van der Plas, F., Manning, P., Prati, D., Gossner, M. M., Renner, S. C., Alt, F., Arndt, H., Baumgartner, V., Binkenstein, J., Birkhofer, K., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Boch, S., Böhm, S., Börschig, C., Buscot, F., Diekötter, T., Heinze, J., ... Allan, E. (2016). Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature*, *536*, 456–459. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19092>
32. Wegner, C. E., & Liesack, W. (2016). Microbial community dynamics during the early stages of plant polymer breakdown in paddy soil. *Environmental Microbiology*, *18*, 2825–2842. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13439>
33. Wu, H., Zhang, Q., Chen, X., Wang, L., Luo, W., Zhang, Z., et al. (2021). Effect of HRT and BDPs types on nitrogen removal and microbial community of solid carbon source SND process treating low carbon/nitrogen domestic wastewater. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, *40*, 101854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101854>
34. Yang, Z. N., Liu, Z. S., Wang, K. H., Liang, Z. L., Abdugheni, R., Huang, Y., et al. (2022). Soil microbiomes divergently respond to heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in contaminated industrial sites. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*, *10*, 100169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.e.se.2022.100169>
35. Zada, S., Xie, J., Yang, M., Yang, X., Sajjad, W., Rafiq, M., et al. (2021). Composition and functional profiles of microbial communities in two geochemically and mineralogically different caves. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *105*, 8921–8936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-021-11658-4>

36. Zecchin, S., Corsini, A., Martin, M., & Cavalca, L. (2017). Influence of water management on the active root-associated microbiota involved in arsenic, iron, and sulfur cycles in rice paddies. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 101, 6725–6738. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8382-6>
37. Zhu, H. Z., Zhang, Z. F., Zhou, N., Jiang, C. Y., Wang, B. J., Cai, L., et al. (2019). Diversity, distribution and co-occurrence patterns of bacterial communities in a karst cave system. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 1726. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01726>